

Montana Water Court
PO Box 1389
Bozeman, MT 59771-1389
(406) 586-4364
1-800-624-3270
watercourt@mt.gov

IN THE WATER COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
CLARK FORK DIVISION
KOOTENAI RIVER BASIN (76D)
PRELIMINARY DECREE

* * * * *

CLAIMANTS: Vanessa Pallister; Cody R. Pallister

CASE 76D-0296-R-2023
76D 141505-00

NOTICE OF FILING OF MASTER'S REPORT

This Master's Report was filed with the Montana Water Court on the above stamped date. Please review this report carefully.

You may file a written objection to this Master's Report within **10 days** of the stamped date if you disagree or find errors with the Master's findings of fact, conclusions of law, or recommendations. Rule 23, W.R.Adj.R. If the Master's Report was mailed to you, the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure allow an additional 3 days be added to the 10-day objection period. Rule 6(d), M.R.Civ.P. If you file an objection, you must serve a copy of the objection to all parties on the service list found at the end of the Master's Report. The original objection and a certificate of mailing to all parties on the service list must be filed with the Water Court.

If you do not file a timely objection, the Water Court will conclude that you agree

with the content of this Master's Report.

MASTER'S REPORT

Statement of the case

The above captioned stock claim appeared in the Preliminary Decree with the following issue remark:

THE TYPE OF RIGHT IS MISSING.

Issue remarks result from Department of Natural Resources and Conservation ("DNRC") claims examination. Claims examination confirms the historical use of water right claims and identifies issues with claims. If claims examination cannot confirm some aspect of a claim, an issue remark is added to the claim.

Montana law requires the Water Court to resolve issue remarks. An order set a filing deadline for claimants to file their agreement with "use" as the type of historical right or evidence supporting the type of historical right. Claimants failed to participate in the issue remark resolution process. The court order informed claimants that their lack of reply would be deemed their agreement to the suggested resolution of the issue remark. The court order also included the following language: "Failure to comply with this order may result in modification of your water right."

Issue

What type of historical right should irrigation claim 76D 141505-00 identify?

Finding of fact

The type of historical right for irrigation claim 76D 141505-00 should be "use."

Principles of law

1. A properly filed Statement of Claim for Existing Water Right is prima facie proof of its content. Section 85-2-227, MCA. This prima facie proof may be contradicted and overcome by other evidence that proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that an element of the prima facie claim is incorrect. This is the burden of proof for every assertion that a claim is incorrect. Rule 19, W.R.Adj.R. A preponderance of the evidence is a “modest standard” and is evidence that demonstrates the fact to be proved is “more probable than not.” *Hohenlohe v. State*, 2010 MT 203, ¶ 33, 357 Mont. 348, 240 P.3d 628.

2. The Montana Water Court is permitted to use information submitted by the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, the statement of claim, information from approved compacts, and any other data obtained by the Court to evaluate water right claims. Section 85-2-231(2), MCA.

3. When resolving issue remarks, the Montana Water Court must weigh the information resulting in the issue remark and the issue remark against the claimed water right. Section 85-2-247(2), MCA.

4. The Montana Water Court has the authority to resolve issue remarks when the claim file and information available to the Court provide a sufficient basis to do so. Section 85-2-248(3), MCA.

5. All parties subject to the jurisdiction of the Montana Water Court in this adjudication have the obligation to comply with Orders of this Court, including Orders issued by a Master appointed by the Court. If a claimant fails to comply with an Order issued by the Court in its review of issue remarks, the Court may amend the claim to conform with information found in the claim file and information before the Court. Section 85-2-248(9)(a),(b), MCA.

Analysis

Type of historical right issue remark resolution

Based upon a review of the record before the court, the statement of claim did not identify whether the claim was a decreed water right, filed appropriation right, or a use water right. Co-claimant at the time, Earl J. Aldrich, included a statement with the statement of claim. The statement reads, “Use rights water rights developed by use no record of the water rights was ever made in the court house.” This statement, located in the claim file, indicated claim 76D 141505-00 is a “use” right.

Based upon this information and pursuant to § 85-2-248(3), MCA, claimants were ordered to file a statement with the court agreeing with the suggested type of historical right (“use”) or to file evidence establishing the type of historical right. Claimants failed to file a statement or evidence by the deadline.

Claimants’ failure to provide any evidence to address or resolve the type of historical right issue remark leaves Earl J. Aldrich’s statement of use, included with the statement of claim, as strong evidence of the type of historical right.

Conclusions of law

Based on information in the claim file and before the court, and the claimants’ failure to comply with an order issued by the Water Master, irrigation claim 76D 141505-00 should be amended as recommended by the order setting filing deadline. The type of historical right issue remark is resolved.

Recommendations

Based upon the foregoing, claim 76D 141505-00 should be amended as follows to accurately reflect historical use.

TYPE OF HISTORICAL RIGHT:

~~BLANK~~

USE

The type of historical right issue remark appearing on the claim should be

removed.

A Post Decree Abstract of Water Right Claim accompanies this report to confirm implementation of the recommendations in the state's centralized water right record system.

ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED AND DATED BELOW.

Service via USPS Mail

Vanessa Pallister
Cody R. Pallister
83 Swanson Lodge Rd
Troy, MT 59935-9603

\\JUDHLNSRV-DATA\Share\JUDGALH2OSRV (Datavol)\Share\WC-BASIN FOLDERS\76D PD\76D Cases\76D-0296-R-2023\MR--76D-296R sjs.docx

**POST DECREE
ABSTRACT OF WATER RIGHT CLAIM
KOOTENAI RIVER
BASIN 76D**

Water Right Number: 76D 141505-00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Version: 3 -- POST DECREE

Status: ACTIVE

Owners: CODY R PALLISTER
83 SWANSON LODGE RD
TROY, MT 59935 9603

VANESSA PALLISTER
83 SWANSON LODGE RD
TROY, MT 59935 9603

Priority Date: JULY 31, 1956

Type of Historical Right: USE

Purpose (use): IRRIGATION

Irrigation Type: SPRINKLER

Flow Rate: 14.00 GPM

Volume: 1.65 AC-FT

Climatic Area: 3 - MODERATE

Maximum Acres: 0.50

Source Name: FALLS CREEK

Source Type: SURFACE WATER

Point of Diversion and Means of Diversion:

<u>ID</u>	<u>Govt Lot</u>	<u>Qtr Sec</u>	<u>Sec</u>	<u>Twp</u>	<u>Rge</u>	<u>County</u>
1		SWNE	33	31N	33W	LINCOLN

Period of Diversion: JULY 1 TO SEPTEMBER 10

Diversion Means: PUMP

Period of Use: JULY 1 TO SEPTEMBER 10

Place of Use:

<u>ID</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Govt Lot</u>	<u>Qtr Sec</u>	<u>Sec</u>	<u>Twp</u>	<u>Rge</u>	<u>County</u>
1	0.50		SWNE	33	31N	33W	LINCOLN

Total: 0.50